PAPER

Phonon fingerprints of $CsPb_2Br_5$

To cite this article: V G Hadjiev et al 2018 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 30 405703

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 30 (2018) 405703 (5pp)

Phonon fingerprints of CsPb₂Br₅

V G Hadjiev^{1,2}, C Wang^{3,4}, Y Wang^{3,5}, X Su^{3,6}, H A Calderon⁷, F Robles Hernandez⁸, Z M Wang⁵ and J M Bao^{3,5,9}

¹ Texas Center for Superconductivity, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, United States of America

² Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204,

United States of America

³ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Houston, Houston,

TX 77204, United States of America

⁴ School of Material Science and Engineering, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, People's Republic of China

⁵ Institute of Fundamental and Frontier Sciences, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 610054, People's Republic of China

⁶ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Chang'an University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710061, People's Republic of China

⁷ Instituto Politécnico Nacional, ESFM, Departamento de Física, UPALM, Ed.9 Zacatenco, CDMX 07338, Mexico

⁸ Mechanical Engineering Technology, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204,

United States of America

⁹ Materials Science & Engineering, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, United States of America

E-mail: vhadjiev@uh.edu

Received 18 June 2018, revised 21 August 2018 Accepted for publication 4 September 2018 Published 17 September 2018



Abstract

CsPb₂Br₅ is a stable, water-resistant, material derived from CsPbBr₃ perovskite and featuring two-dimensional Pb–Br framework separated by Cs layers. Both compounds can coexist at nanolength scale, which often produces conflicting optical spectroscopy results. We present a complete set of polarized Raman spectra of nonluminescent CsPb₂Br₅ single crystals that reveals the symmetry and frequency of nondegenerate Raman active phonons accessible from the basal (001) plane. The experimental results are in good agreement with density functional perturbation theory simulations, which suggests that the calculated frequencies of yet unobserved double degenerate Raman and infrared phonons are also reliable. Unlike CsPbBr₃, the lattice dynamics of CsPb₂Br₅ is stable as evidenced by the calculated phonon dispersion. The sharp Raman lines and lack of a dynamic-disorder-induced central peak in the spectra at room temperature indicate that the coupling of Cs anharmonic motion to Br atoms, known to cause the dynamic disorder in CsPbBr₃, is absent in CsPb₂Br₅.

Keywords: lead halides, Raman spectroscopy, lattice dynamics

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Cs-based lead halide perovskites have emerged as more temperature stable optoelectronic materials than the hybrid organic– inorganic perovskite counterparts [1]. The latter are notable for their impressive power photoconversion efficiency of >20% [2], and potential applications as light emitting diodes [3] and thermoelectrics [4]. Hybrid organic–inorganic and all-inorganic perovskites have comparable photovoltaic performance [5]. On the downside, both types of materials are water sensitive. In the presence of water, CH₃NH₃PbI₃ degrades or forms hydrites [6] and CsPbBr₃ turns into CsPb₂Br₅ [7]. CsPb₂Br₅ is a water-resistant material [7, 8] akin to the brightly photoluminescent (PL) CsPbBr₃ [9] but differing from the perovskites with its two-dimensional (2D) Pb–Br framework separated by Cs layers [10]. Both compounds are found or intentionally prepared to coexists at nanolength scale [8, 11]. The corresponding nanocomposites [11] and CsPbBr₃/CsPb₂Br₅ core/ shell nanostructures [8] show stable PL and structural integrity. As to the PL properties of CsPb₂Br₅, reports are controversial: from emitting strong visible PL and even lasing capabilities [12] to inherent PL inactivity [13, 14]. Visible PL was observed in nanocrystalline CsPb₂Br₅ [15] and in nanoplatelets [16]. On the other hand [13], and [17] report lack of PL in $CsPb_2Br_5$ nanocubes and single crystals, respectively. The PL controversy stems from the fact that CsPb₂Br₅ is an indirect band gap ($E_g \approx 3 \text{ eV}$) semiconductor [13, 14, 17] that is not supposed to emit PL in the range of 2.35–2.40 eV [12, 15, 16]. A common trend in these experimental observations is that the forbidden PL in CsPb₂Br₅ is seen in nanostructures with complex morphology. The reasons for that could be remnant CsPbBr₃ embedded in CsPb₂Br₅, defects, crystal edge states or an interphase between the two materials. In most cases, attempts were made to resolve the controversy using x-ray diffraction (XRD) and differences in PL emissions of CsPb₂Br₅ and CsPbBr₃, but ambiguity remains.

One of the pressing issues is to reconcile the results of DFT modeling, that is, the wide band gap and lack of reasons for emitting PL, with a particular crystal state of CsPb₂Br₅. The potential of Raman spectroscopy to resolve this problem has not been fully explored yet as only the Raman spectra of CsPbBr₃ are known [18] but not those of CsPb₂Br₅. CsPbBr₃ undergoes two structural phase transitions with temperature: from cubic $Pm\bar{3}m$ to tetragonal P4/mbm at 403 K, and further to orthorhombic Pbnm at 361 K [19]. Although at room temperature CsPbBr₃ is already in the lowest temperature phase, its Raman spectra show broad smeared phonon peaks and scattering background in a shape of a central peak (centered at zero cm^{-1} Raman shift) [18]. The perovskite structure of CsPbBr₃ consists of apex-to-apex connected PbBr₆ octahedra in a 3D framework. A combined Raman and molecular dynamics (MD) simulation study [18] of CsPbBr₃ show that the central peak is due to dynamic-disorder scattering from a head-to-head Cs anharmonic motion coupled to Br face expansion of PbBr₆ octahedra. The 2D Pb-Br framework in CsPb₂Br₅ is not connected along the *c*-axis but separated by Cs layers. Thus if the dynamic-disorder scattering mechanism proposed in [18] is viable then we should not expect a central peak because Pb-Br layers in CsPb₂Br₅ lack bridging Br atoms.

In this work, we present an original Raman study of CsPb₂Br₅ aimed to reveal the intrinsic vibrational properties of PL inactive single crystals. A complementary density-functional perturbation theory (DFPT) simulation was carried out for calculating the lattice dynamics in CsPb₂Br₅ and thereby to confirm the reliability of Raman experiment and structural purity of CsPb₂Br₅ crystals. We also predict the phonon frequencies of Raman active modes not seen yet experimentally because of crystal morphology constraints.

2. Material preparation, characterization, and Raman experiment

CsPb₂Br₅ microplatelets were grown by conversion of CsPbBr₃ in pure water [7]. CsPbBr₃ powders (micro-cubes) were



Figure 1. Raman spectra of the CsPb₂Br₅ single crystal shown in the inset, excited with 632.8 nm laser line and measured in backscattering configurations with the laser beam propagation direction along [001]. The crystal thickness is 0.5 μ m. The incident (\vec{e}_i) and scattered (\vec{e}_s) light polarization directions select B_{2g} $(\vec{e}_i \parallel [100]; \vec{e}_s \parallel [010]), A_{1g} + B_{2g} (\vec{e}_i \parallel [110]; \vec{e}_s \parallel [110]), B_{1g}$ $(\vec{e}_i \parallel [110]; \vec{e}_s \parallel [1\bar{1}0]), and A_{1g} + B_{1g} (\vec{e}_i \parallel [100]; \vec{e}_s \parallel [100]).$

first synthesized using a modified method by mixing 0.5 M Pb(CH₃COO)₂ · 3H₂O and 1 M CsBr in 48% HBr solution at room temperature [7, 20]. CsPb₂Br₅ was then synthesized by simply dropping CsPbBr₃ micro-cubes in large quantity of water in a flask at room temperature. Orange CsPbBr₃ quickly turned into white and precipitates at the bottom of the flask. The white precipitates, consisting of mainly platelet crystals, were taken out and dried for further study. XRD measurements revealed very pure phases of initial CsPbBr₃ and precipitated CsPb₂Br₅ materials [7, 20].

The Raman scattering spectra of CsPb₂Br₅ were measured with a Horiba JY T64000 triple spectrometer on samples placed in an Oxford Instruments Microstat^{He} optical cryostat. All spectra were recorded in backscattering configurations with incident and scattered light propagating normal to the CsPb₂Br₅ crystal platelet surfaces. The backscattering configurations are given in Porto's notation: $A(BC)\bar{A}$, where Aand \bar{A} are the propagation directions of incident and scattered light, respectively, and B and C are the corresponding light polarizations \vec{e}_i and \vec{e}_s .

3. Experimental results

CsPb₂Br₅ crystalizes in a body-centered tetragonal structure [10], space group *I4/mcm* (No. 140), with lattice parameters typically close to those originally reported in [21]. The CsPb₂Br₅ crystals adopt a platelet morphology with large faces parallel to the crystallographic (001) plane [10]. The primitive unit cell (PC) contains two formula units of CsPb₂Br₅, $N_{cell} = 16$ atoms per PC with $3N_{cell} = 48$ degrees of vibrational freedom. The irreducible representations of the Γ -point phonon modes are $3A_{1g} + 2B_{1g} + 3B_{2g} + 5E_g + 2A_{1u} + 5A_{2u} + 3B_{1u} + B_{2u} + 8E_u$, and only the A_{1g} , B_{1g} , B_{2g} , and E_g phonons are Raman active [22]. The acoustic modes have A_{2u} and E_u symmetry, whereas

Table 1. Experimental and DFPT Raman (R) and infrared (IR) phonon frequencies in CsPb ₂ Br ₅ calculated using PAW and NC	
pseudopotentials. The corresponding lattice constants are $a = b = 8.38$ Å and $c = 15.27$ Å (PAW) and $a = b = 8.31$ Å and $c = 15.27$	26 Å
(NC). TO/LO splitting of E_u modes is given for a phonon wavevector $\mathbf{q} \to 0$ along Γ -M in the Brillouin zone.	

Mode sym. (R)	Exp. 80 K (cm ⁻¹)	PAW Γ -point (cm ⁻¹)	NC Γ-point (cm ⁻¹)	Mode sym. (IR)	PAW Γ -point (cm ⁻¹)	PAW $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$ along Γ -M TO/LO (cm ⁻¹)
$\overline{A_{1g}}$	51	54	55	A_{2u}	58	59
A_{1g}	81	82	83	A_{2u}	73	73
A_{1g}	134	132	132	A_{2u}	91	94
B_{1g}	39	41	42	A_{2u}	141	153
B_{1g}	80	77	77	E_u	18	18/38
B_{2g}	69	69	71	E_u	46	46/53
B_{2g}		95	92	E_u	60	60/64
B_{2g}	152	148	147	E_u	73	73/84
E_{g}		36	31	E_u	95	95/106
Ĕg		56	52	E_u	112	112/116
Ĕg		70	64	E_u	131	131/135
Ĕg		78	76			
Ēg		114	113			

 A_{1u} , B_{1u} , and B_{2u} are neither IR nor Raman active. The remaining $4A_{2u}$ and $7E_u$ modes can be observed in far-IR spectroscopy experiments. The Raman tensor, $\Re_{\mathbf{S}} = |\alpha_{ij}|$ with i, j = x, y, z, of active modes $S = A_{1g}, B_{1g}, B_{2g}, E_{g}$, has the following non-zero components: $\Re_{A_{1g}}(\alpha_{xx} = \alpha_{yy} = a, \alpha_{zz} = b)$, $\Re_{B_{1g}}(\alpha_{xx}=-\alpha_{yy}=c), \ \Re_{B_{2g}}(\alpha_{xy}=\alpha_{yx}=d), \ \Re_{E_{g,1}}(\alpha_{yz}=\alpha_{zy}=e),$ and $\Re_{E_{g,2}}(\alpha_{xz} = \alpha_{zx} = -e)$ [22]. The analysis of Raman scattering activity $I_{\rm S} = [\vec{e}_{\rm s} \cdot \Re_{\rm S} \cdot \vec{e}_{\rm i}]^2$ suggests that measurements in four back scattering configurations from the surface of a CsPb₂Br₅ platelet are enough to determine the symmetry of nondegenerate phonons. These are $Z(XY)\overline{Z}$ with $I_{B_{2\sigma}} \neq 0$, $Z(X'X')\overline{Z}$ with $I_{A_{1g}} \neq 0$ and $I_{B_{2g}} \neq 0$, $Z(X'Y')\overline{Z}$ with $I_{B_{1g}} \neq 0$, and $Z(XX)\overline{Z}$ with $I_{A_{1g}} \neq 0$ and $I_{B_{1g}} \neq 0$, where Z and Z' are parallel to [001] crystallographic direction, X is along [100] and orthogonal to Y, X' and Y' denote [110] and $[1\overline{1}0]$ directions, respectively. Note that in these scattering configurations the Raman intensity of the E_g modes is vanishing, $I_{E_g} = 0$.

Figure 1 shows the polarized Raman spectra of the CsPb₂Br₅ crystal displayed in the inset, measured in backscattering configurations from (001) crystal face. As seen in figure 1, the symmetry of A_{1g} , B_{1g} , and B_{2g} phonons is experimentally well established. The high single crystal quality of the sample is evidenced by the strongly polarized Raman spectra. The presented in figure 1 polarized Raman spectra were also reproduced in the measurements of a number of CsPb₂Br₅ different crystals including those immersed in water. For CsPb₂Br₅ crystals in water we believe they are completely free of CsPbBr₃. The frequency of all Raman phonons measured at 80 K are listed in table 1. Notably, one B_{2g} mode is missing in the strongly polarized Raman data. Having detected only two B_{2g} phonons may confuse their symmetry assignment with that of the B_{1g} modes. The results of DFPT calculations given in table 1, however, are very helpful in this case and confirm the mode assignment in figure 1. As expected, none of the $E_{\rm g}$ modes were observed in the measured crystal due to the platelet crystal morphology.

The measured CsPb₂Br₅ crystal show no PL emission in the visible light range [7] in accordance with the calculated electronic band structure featuring a wide indirect band gap of $\approx 3 \text{ eV}$ [13, 14, 17]. Thus we correlate the lack of PL emission to the single crystal nature of CsPb₂Br₅ sample. Similar conclusions are also found in [17].

4. DFPT calculation details

The DFPT lattice dynamics calculations of CsPb₂Br₅ were performed within the generalized-gradient approximation (GGA) with PBEsol functional [23] using the DFPT code [24] as implemented in the Quantum Espresso (QE) suite [25]. In the calculations, we used the projector-augmented-wave (PAW) approach [26] with pseudopotentials generated [27] for use with QE. The geometry optimization of crystal structure, electronic band structure, and related properties were calculated self-consistently (SCF) with 75 Ry kinetic energy cutoff for the plane wave, 300 Ry charge density cut-off, SCF tolerance better than 10^{-11} , and 5.10^{-6} Ry/au total residual force on atoms over $4 \times 4 \times 4$ Monkhorst–Pack (MP) k-point grid. The dynamical matrices were calculated over $4 \times 4 \times 4$ MP *q*-point grid and used after that for constructing the force constant matrix. Initial attempts to simulate the lattice dynamics of CsPb₂Br₅ at lower density k- and q-point grids produced phonon dispersions with imaginary frequencies thus implying possible inherent lattice instabilities as those seen in CsPbBr₃. However, increasing the density of both grids, although becoming quite computational demanding, resulted in a stable lattice dynamics with calculated Γ -phonon frequencies in a very good agreement with the low temperature experiment. The lattice constants calculated for the fully relaxed structure of CsPb₂Br₅ are a = b = 8.38 Å and c = 15.27 Å. The DFPT calculations relax the size and shape of crystallographic unit cell through minimization of all quantum mechanical forces in a static lattice, that is, at T = 0 K. The calculated lattice constants are in a good agreemnt with the experimental ones measured at room temperature: a = b = 8.48 Å and c = 15.25 Å [21]. We explored multiple combinations of functionals and pseudopotentials in the calculations of lattice dynamics of CsPb₂Br₅ but none gave results as close to the experiment



Figure 2. DFPT calculated phonon dispersion in CsPb₂Br₅ with TO/LO splitting included.



Figure 3. Calculated atomic displacements for the non-degenerate Raman modes in $CsPb_2Br_5$. The axes *a*, *b*, and *c* are along the crystallographic directions [100], [010], and [001], respectively. Note that the unit cell of $CsPb_2Br_5$ contains two Pb–Br layers. The atomic displacements of only one of them are shown for clarity. The displacements in the second layer are as those of the shown one but rotated at 180° around [110].

as those produced by the GGA-PBEsol-PAW scheme. Only the calculations using the norm-conserved (NC) PBESol pseudopotentials gave reasonable values for the lattice constants, a = b = 8.31 Å and c = 15.26 Å, and Γ -point phonon frequencies (e.g. see table 1) but failed to produce a stable phonon dispersion. For the calculation of non-resonant Raman intensity [30] we used the DFPT code [28, 29] within the



Figure 4. Comparison of non-polarised Raman spectra of CsPb₂Br₅ and CsPbBr₃. The dash curve in (a) is a guide to the eye that depicts the dynamic-disorder-induced central peak in CsPbBr₃ [18].

GGA-PBEsol-NC computational scheme. Table 1 shows that the Raman phonon frequencies calculated by using both codes [25], and [28, 29], are very close thus lending credibility to the Raman intensity calculations.

5. Discussion

Figure 2 displays the phonon dispersion of CsPb₂Br₅ calculated using GGA-PBESol-PAW. The TO/LO splitting of E_u modes at Γ -point is also accounted for phonon propagation towards the Brillouin zone boundaries points $M(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$, $X(0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$, $N(0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$, and $P(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$ given in the primitive basis. The phonon dispersion in figure 2 displays phonon bands that are closely spaced in frequency and have to be resolved by their eigenvectors. This is one of the reasons for making the lattice dynamics calculations of CsPb₂Br₅ difficult and unstable. In addition, the out-of-plane acoustic modes in 2D materials have quadratic dispersion and tend to produce negative frequencies around Γ -point if the fast Fourier transformation grid is not dense enough as shown in [31] for CsPb₂Br₅ slab calculations. The quadratic dispersion effect in figure 2 is seen in the flattening of one of the acoustic modes most pronounced at Γ -point along the Γ -P and Γ -X directions.

As seen in figure 1 the predicted B_{2g} mode at 95 cm^{-1} lacks measurable Raman intensity. The calculations of Raman activity $I_{\rm S}(\omega) = [\vec{e}_{\rm s} \cdot (\partial \tilde{\alpha}/\partial Q_{\rm i}) \cdot \vec{e}_{\rm i}]^2$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the polarizability tensor and $Q_{\rm i}$ the normal mode coordinates, yielded $I_{B_{2g}}(95 \text{ cm}^{-1})/I_{B_{2g}}(69 \text{ cm}^{-1}) = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ and $I_{B_{2g}}(95 \text{ cm}^{-1})/I_{B_{2g}}(148 \text{ cm}^{-1}) = 8 \times 10^{-4}$ for the scattering configuration with $\vec{e}_{\rm i} \parallel [100]$ and $\vec{e}_{\rm s} \parallel [010]$. Therefore, the eigenvector of the 95 cm⁻¹ mode produces vanishing modulation of the crystal polarizability.

In figure 3, we show the calculated atomic displacements for all non-degenerate Raman modes in CsPb₂Br₅. Comparing

the experimental Raman intensities in figure 1 with the vibrational patterns in figure 3 we conclude that the intensity is strong for all modes in which Br atoms move in-phase and predominantly in-plane in the Pb–Br layer. Apparently, this does not apply to the B_{2g} mode at 95 cm⁻¹.

Figure 4 demonstrates the difference between the Raman spectra of CsPb₂Br₅ and CsPbBr₃ at room and low temperatures. The assignment of Raman phonons in CsPbBr3 is given in [18]. We note, however, that the Raman spectrum of $CsPbBr_3$ shown in figure 4(b) was measured at a higher spectral resolution than that in [18] and exhibits more spectral lines although not all of the expected by symmetry considerations. The presence of small amount of CsPbBr₃ as an impurity in CsPb₂Br₅ might be challenging to detect at room temperature because of the smeared Raman features of CsPbBr₃. At low temperatures, however, the spectral range below $40 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is free of CsPb₂Br₅ Raman lines and the presence of CsPbBr₃ as an impurity can be monitored through the Raman peaks at 28 cm^{-1} and 32 cm^{-1} . We believe that figure 4 serves as a useful reference for the material characterization of both compounds and will be helpful in detecting traces of CsPbBr₃ in CsPb₂Br₅.

6. Conclusions

In summary, we have presented a comprehensive Raman scattering study of CsPb₂Br₅. The DFPT calculation results are in very good agreement with experimental phonon frequencies and symmetry. The latter gives us confidence that the calculated phonon dispersion and phonon related, yet unmeasured, properties are also accessed properly. The present Raman study provides evidence that the lack of visible PL emission is an intrinsic property of CsPb₂Br₅ single crystals.

Acknowledgments

VGH work was supported by the State of Texas through the Texas Center for Superconductivity at the University of Houston (UH) and in part with resources provided by the Center for Advanced Computing and Data Science (CACDS) at UH. JMB acknowledges support from the Robert A Welch Foundation (E-1728). HAC acknowledges support from IPN (SIP, COFAA) for a sabbatical stay at the Molecular Foundry-LBNL. Work at the Molecular Foundry was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH1123.

ORCID iDs

V G Hadjiev lb https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8579-9357

References

- [1] Kulbak M, Cahen D and Hodes G G 2015 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 6 2452
- [2] Yang W S et al 2017 Science **356** 1376
- [3] Stranks S D and Snaith H J 2015 Nat. Nanotechnol. 10 391
- [4] He Y and Galli G 2016 Chem. Mater. 26 27024
- [5] Kulbak M, Gupta S, Kedem N, Levine I, Bendikov T, Hodes G and Cahen D 2016 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7 167
- [6] Zhu Z et al 2016 Chem. Mater. 28 7385
- [7] Wang Y et al 2018 arXiv:1803.11490
- [8] Qiao B, Song P, Cao J, Zhao S, Shen Z, Gao D, Liang Z, Xu Z, Song D and Xu X 2017 Nanotechnology 28 443602
- [9] Protesescu L, Yakunin S, Bondnarchuk M I, Krieg F, Caputo R, Hendon C H, Yang R X, Walsh A and Kovalenko M V 2015 *Nano Lett.* 15 3692
- [10] Powell H M and Tasker H S 1937 J. Chem. Soc. 0 119
- [11] Palazon F, Dogan S, Marras S, Locardi F, Nelli I, Rastogi P, Ferreti M, Pratto M, Krahne R and Manna L 2017 J. Phys. Chem. C 121 11956
- [12] Tang X et al 2017 Adv. Opt. Mater. 5 1600788
- [13] Li G, Wang H, Zhu Z, Chang Y, Zhang T, Song Z and Jiang Y 2016 Chem. Commun. 52 11296
- [14] Zhang Z, Zhu Y, Wang W, Zheng W, Lin R and Huang F 2018 J. Mater. Chem. C 6 446
- [15] Yang H, Zhang Y, Pan J, Yin J, Bakr O M and Mohammed O F 2017 Chem. Mater. 29 8978
- [16] Lv J, Fang L and Shen J 2018 Mater. Lett. 211 199
- [17] Dursun I et al 2017 ChemSusChem 10 3746
- [18] Yaffe O et al 2017 Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 136001
- [19] Hirotsu S, Harada J, Iizumi M and Gesi K 1974 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 37 1393
- [20] Stoumpos C C et al 2013 Cryst. Growth Des. 13 2722
- [21] Cola M, Massarotti V, Riccardi R and Sinistri C 1971 Z. Naturforsch. 26 1328
- [22] Rousseau D L, Bauman R P and Porto S P S 1981 J. Raman Spec. 10 253
- [23] Perdew J P, Ruzsinszky A, Csonka G I, Vydrov O A, Scuseria G E, Constantin L A, Zhou X and Burke K 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** 136406
- [24] Baroni S, de Gironcoli S, dal Corso A and Giannozzi P 2001 Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 515
- [25] Giannozzi P 2009 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 395502
- [26] Blöchl P E 1994 Phys. Rev. B 50 17953
- [27] dal Corso A 2014 Comput. Mater. Sci. 95 337
- [28] Clark S J, Segall M D, Pickard C J, Hasnip P J, Probert M J, Refson K and Payne M C 2005 Z. Kristallogr. 220 567
- [29] Refson K, Tulip P R and Clark S J 2006 *Phys. Rev.* B 73 155114
- [30] Porezag D and Pederson M R 1996 Phys. Rev. B 54 7830
- [31] Iyikanat F, Sari E and Sahin H 2017 *Phys. Rev.* B 96 155442